



**Paula M. Meek WIN Dissertation Grant
Scientific Review Criteria**

Title of Project:

Applicant Name:

Reviewer Name:

Score criteria using the scale below. The sections on budget and priority of patient-centered research are scored as yes or no.

- 9 Exceptional
- 8 Outstanding
- 7 Excellent
- 6 Very Good
- 5 Good
- 4 Satisfactory
- 3 Fair
- 2 Marginal
- 1 Poor

Criterion	Score	Comments (List Strengths/Weaknesses)
Purpose/Specific Aims: • Logically stated • Variables of interest are clearly stated		
Significance and Innovation: • Clear, logical, consistent • Potential to add new knowledge • Background/theoretical/conceptual rationale clear		
Approach: • Design/method appropriate & adequate • Procedures feasible • Method logically consistent with purpose/aims • Measures identified • Analysis/evaluation plan identified & appropriate		

Environment and Resources , including access to laboratories, measures, etc. and institutional commitment to the applicant (this will be part of the letter from the mentor)		
Investigator: productivity to date, match with the mentor, mentorship relationship, and future potential as a nurse scientist (this can be evaluated from the mentor's letter as well as materials in the biosketch)		
Budget: Justification given? Realistic for the project?	Y/N	
Priority of patient-centered research:	Y/N	

Overall impact Score:

Rate the overall impact this project, when completed, will have to launch a competitive program of research for a patient-centered symptom science nurse scientist. The Overall Impact Score is not intended to be a mean of the scores above, but of your assessment of the impact.

Overall Impact score (9 "exceptional" to 1 "poor"): _____