



**Martha J. Lentz WIN Dissertation Grant
Scientific Review Criteria**

Title of Project:

Applicant Name:

Reviewer Name:

Score criteria using the scale below. The sections on budget and priority of Biobehavioral research are scored as yes or no.

- 9 Exceptional
- 8 Outstanding
- 7 Excellent
- 6 Very Good
- 5 Good
- 4 Satisfactory
- 3 Fair
- 2 Marginal
- 1 Poor

Criterion	Score	Comments (List Strengths/Weaknesses)
Purpose/Specific Aims: • Logically stated • Biobehavioral variables of interest clear (defined as a study of the interaction of behavioral and biological processes involving application of biological methods and ideas to the study of behavior, incorporating at least one laboratory, electrophysiologic, or imaging measure)		
Significance and Innovation: • Clear, logical, consistent • Potential to add new knowledge • Background/theoretical/conceptual rationale clear		

Approach: • Design/method appropriate & adequate • Procedures feasible • Method logically consistent with purpose/aims • Measures identified • Analysis/evaluation plan identified & appropriate		
Environment and Resources , including access to laboratories, measures, etc. and institutional commitment to the applicant (this will be part of the letter from the mentor)		
Investigator: productivity to date, match with the mentor, mentorship relationship, and future potential as a nurse scientist (this can be evaluated from the mentor's letter as well as materials in the biosketch)		
Budget: Justification given? Realistic for the project?	Y/N	
Priority of Biobehavioral research:	Y/N	

Overall impact Score:

Rate the overall impact this project, when completed, will have to launch a competitive program of research for a biobehavioral nurse scientist. The Overall Impact Score is not intended to be a mean of the scores above, but of your assessment of the impact.

Overall Impact score (9 "exceptional" to 1 "poor"): _____