



## Western Institute of Nursing Community-Based Participatory Research

### Conference Podium and Poster Awards

---

#### **Advancing Health in the West through Community-Based Participatory Research**

Collaborative research approaches, such as CBPR, equitably involve researchers and community members throughout the entire research process. Done well, CBPR builds on community strengths, addresses needs, and creates durable partnerships characterized by power-sharing and mutual learning. The WIN mission of improving health through excellence in nursing research, practice, and education can be accomplished with community participation.

**The Purpose of the WIN CBPR Conference Awards** is to recognize exemplary nursing presentations and posters featuring CBPR methodology.

**The Process of CBPR Award Consideration** involves four steps. First, at the time of conference abstract submission the author checks a box indicating they would like to be considered for the CBPR Award. Second, once accepted, a judge is given the abstract information and corresponding assignment to a podium or poster session. Third, the judge reviews the CBPR podium and poster sessions assigned and uses the scoring rubric to rate them. Fourth, after tallying judges scores, one winner will be selected in the podium category, and one in the poster category. Winners will be recognized with a congratulatory email and \$50 prize.

---

**Date:**

**Judge's Name:**

**Assigned Presentation #:**

**Type:** Podium / Poster

**Title:**

---

#### **Scoring Rubric (1–5 scale)**

Rate each criterion from 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent).

#### **Category 1: Community Partnership and Engagement**



Criteria	Score
<b>Equal partnership:</b> The project demonstrates equitable involvement and power-sharing between community members and researchers at all stages, from conception to dissemination.	
<b>Building on strengths:</b> The research actively identifies and uses the existing strengths, resources, and knowledge within the community.	
<b>Capacity building:</b> The project fosters mutual learning and builds capacity among both the community and the researchers for future initiatives.	
<b>Trust and relationships:</b> Strong, trusting, and respectful relationships were built and maintained with the community throughout the research process.	

### Category 2: Relevance and Impact

Criteria	Score
<b>Community-driven research question:</b> The research topic is of importance to the community and addresses a locally relevant health problem identified by the community itself.	
<b>Social change:</b> The project has clear, actionable goals aimed at combining knowledge with action to create positive and sustainable social change.	
<b>Health equity:</b> The work effectively addresses social determinants of health and aims to reduce health disparities within the community.	
<b>Long-term commitment:</b> The project demonstrates a plan for sustainability that extends beyond the immediate research timeline.	

### Category 3: Research Design and Methods

Criteria	Score
<b>Appropriate methodology:</b> The research design and methods are suitable for the project's goals and are culturally appropriate and responsive to the community's context.	
<b>Clear rationale:</b> The background and rationale for the research are clearly communicated, providing sufficient context for the study.	



<b>Ethical considerations:</b> The project shows a strong commitment to ethical practice, including how ethical concerns and potential challenges were addressed in partnership with the community.	
<b>Soundness of analysis:</b> The analysis of findings is credible, robust, and performed with input from the community.	

#### Category 4: Presentation Quality

Criteria	Score
<b>Clarity of communication:</b> The presentation is clear, concise, and understandable, avoiding unnecessary jargon.	
<b>Logical organization:</b> The presentation is well-organized and follows a logical flow of ideas.	
<b>Visual appeal (Poster only):</b> The poster uses effective layout, visuals, and font size that are readable and visually appealing.	
<b>Effective delivery (Podium only):</b> The presenter demonstrates expertise, engages the audience, and fields questions thoughtfully.	

---

#### Judge's Overall Feedback

**Strengths:**

**Areas for Improvement:**

**Recommendation:**

**Total Score:** \_\_\_\_\_/80

**Signature:**

**Date:**