## Appendix C – Bibliography and References

- Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology (ABET). (2016). Criteria for accrediting engineering programs, 2016-2017. <u>https://www.abet.org/accreditation/accreditation-criteria/criteria-for-accrediting-engineering-programs-2016-2017/</u>
- Advance CTE, Association of State Supervisors of Math, Council of State Science Supervisors, and International Technology and Engineering Educators Association. (2018). *STEM*<sup>4</sup>: *The power of collaboration for change*. <u>https://careertech.org/resource/STEM4-power-</u> <u>collaboration-change</u>
- Alismail, H., & McGuire, P. (2015). 21<sup>st</sup> Century standards and curriculum: Current research and practice. *Journal of Education and Practice*, *6*(6), 150-155.
- American Association for the Advancement of Science. (1989). *Science for all Americans*. Oxford University Press.
- American Association for the Advancement of Science and National Science Teachers Association. (2007). *Atlas of science literacy: Project 2061*. Washington, DC: Author. <u>http://www.project2061.org/publications/sfaa/</u>
- Anderson, L.W. (Ed.), Krathwohl, D.R. (Ed.), Airasian, P.W., Cruikshank, K.A., Mayer, R.E., Pintrich, P.R., Raths, J., & Wittrock, M.C. (2001). A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives. New York, NY: Longman.
- Antink-Meyer, A., & Brown, R. (2019). Nature of engineering knowledge: An articulation for science learners with nature of science understandings. *Science & Education* (online). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11191-019-00038-0
- Antonenko, P., Jahanzad, F., & Greenwood, C. (2014). Fostering collaborative problem solving and 21st century skills using the DEEPER scaffolding framework. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 43(6), 79-88.
- Asunda, P. (2012). Standards for technological literacy and STEM education delivery through career and technical education programs. *Journal of Technology Education*, 23(2), 44-60.
- Asunda, P., & Weitlauf, J. (2018) STEM habits of mind: Supporting and enhancing a PBL design challenge-Integrating STEM instruction approach. *Technology and Engineering Teacher*, 78(3), 34-38.
- Balaji, U. (2017). A new approach to teaching robotics to high school students. *Technology and Engineering Teacher (electronic version)*. www.iteea.org/TETe\_MayJune2017.aspx.
- Banks, F., & Barlex, D. (2014). *Teaching STEM in the secondary school: Helping teachers meet the challenge*. London, UK: Routledge.

- Barton, P. (2010). National education standards: To be or not to be? *Educational Leadership*, 67(7), 22-29.
- Benjamin, S., & Schwartz, W. (1994). When less is more: A devil's advocate position on standards. *English Journal 94*(7).
- Bitter, G., & Thomas, L. (1997). National educational technology standards: Developing new learning environments for today's classrooms. *NASSP Bulletin*, 81(592), 52.
- Bixler, B. (2011). The ABCDs of writing instructional objectives. <u>https://creativecommons.org/</u>
- Buckler, C., Koperski, K., & Loveland, T. (2018). Is computer science compatible with technological literacy? *Technology and Engineering Teacher*, 77(4), 15-20.
- Buelin, J., Daugherty, M., Hoepfl, M., Holter, C., Kelley, T., Loveland, T., Moye, J., & Sumner, A. (2019). *ITEEA standards for technological literacy revision project: Background, rationale, and structure*. Reston, VA: International Technology and Engineering Educators Association. <u>https://www.iteea.org/File.aspx?id=151454&v=e868d0d8</u>
- Bush, S., & Cook, K. (2019). Step into STEAM: Your standards-based action plan for deepening mathematics and science learning, Grades 5-8. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin & Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- Bybee, R. (2010). Advancing STEM education: A 2020 vision. *Technology and Engineering Teacher*, 70(1), 30-35.
- Carr, R., Bennett, L., & Strobel, J. (2012). Engineering in the K-12 STEM standards of the 50 U.S. states: An analysis of presence and extent. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 101(3), 539-564.
- Cencelj, Z., Abersek, M., Bersek, B., & Flogie, A. (2019). Role and meaning of functional science, technological and engineering literacy in problem-based learning. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 18(1), 132-146. <u>https://doi.org/10.33225/jbse/19.18.132</u>
- Change the Equation (CTEq). (2016). Vital signs: Reports on the condition of STEM learning in the U.S. Retrieved January 16, 2017, from <u>https://www.ecs.org/wp-</u> content/uploads/TEL- Report 0.pdf
- Common Core State Standards Initiative. (2019). *Standards for mathematical practice*. <u>http://www.corestandards.org/Math/Practice/.</u>
- Computing at School. (2015). *Computational thinking: A guide for teachers*. London, UK: Author.
- Cook, K., & Bush, S. (2018). Design thinking in integrated STEAM learning: Surveying the

landscape and exploring exemplars in elementary grades. *School Science and Mathematics*, 118(3-4), 93-103. doi:10.1111/ssm.12268

- Cummins, P., Yamashita, T., Millar, R., & Sahoo, S. (2019). Problem-solving skills of the U.S. workforce and preparedness for job automation. *Adult Learning*, *30*(3), 111-120.
- Darche, S., & Stam, B. (2012). College and career readiness: What do we mean? *Techniques*, 87(3), 20-25.
- Daugherty, M. (2009). The T and E in STEM education. The Overlooked STEM Imperatives: Technology and Engineering, International Technology Education Association (pp. 18-25). Reston, VA: ITEEA.
- Denson, C., Buelin, J., Lammi, M., & D'Amico, S. (2015). Developing instrumentation for assessing creativity in engineering design. *Journal of Technology Education*, 27(1), 23-40.
- Di Paolantonio, M. (2016). The cruel optimism of education and education's implication with "passing-on." *Journal of Philosophy of Education*, 50(2), 147–159.
- Dugger, W. (2000). How to communicate to others about the standards. *The Technology Teacher*, 60(3), 9-12.
- Dugger, W. (2016). The Legacy Project. *The Technology and Engineering Teacher*, 76(2), 36-39.
- Dugger, W., & Moye, J. (2018). Standards for technological literacy: Past, present, and future. *Technology and Engineering Teacher*, 77(7), 8-12.
- EDDirect. (2015). *Ethics in education*. Retrieved October 11, 2019, from http://www.eddirect.com/resources/education/ethics-in-education
- Erbil, L., & Dogan, F. (2012). Collaboration within student design teams participating in architectural design competitions. *Design and Technology Education*, 17(3), 70-77.
- Ernst, J., & Clark, A. (2007). Scientific and technical visualization in technology education. *The Technology Teacher*, 66(8), 16-20.
- Ernst, J., & Moye, J. (2013). Social adjustment of at-risk technology education students. *Journal of Technology Education*, 24(2). pp. 2-13.
- Estapa, A., Hutchinson, A., & Nadolny, L. (2018). Recommendations to support computational thinking in the elementary classroom. *Technology and Engineering Teacher*, 77(4), 25-29.

Fleming, R. (1989). Literacy for a technological age. Science Education, 73(4), 391-404.

- Foster, P. (2005). Technology in the standards of other school subjects. *The Technology Teacher*, 65(3), 17-21.
- Fourez, G. (1997). Scientific and technological literacy as a social practice. *Social Studies of Science*, *27*, 903-936.
- France, B. (2015). Technological literacy: A realisable goal or a chimera? ACE Papers, Issue 5: Issues in Educational Professional Development, Paper 3. Auckland, New Zealand: University of Auckland. <u>https://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/handle/2292/25056</u>
- Friedman, T. (2005). *The world is flat: A brief history of the twenty-first century*. New York, NY: Farrar, Straus and McKinsey.
- Gagel, C. (1997). Literacy and technology: Reflections and insights for technological literacy. Journal of Industrial Teacher Education, 34(3), 6-34.
- Gandal, M. (1995). Why we need academic standards. *Educational Leadership*, 53(1), 84-86. <u>http://www.ascd.org/publications/educational-leadership/sept95/vol53/num01/-Why-We-Need-Academic-Standards.aspx</u>
- Grubbs, M., Strimel, G., & Huffman, T. (2018). Engineering education: A clear content base for standards. *Technology and Engineering Teacher*, 77(7), 32-38.
- Haag, S., & Megowan, C. (2015). Next Generation Science Standards: A national mixedmethods study on teacher readiness. *School Science and Mathematics*, *115*(8), 416-426.
- Hacker, M. (2018). Integrating computational thinking into technology and engineering education. *Technology and Engineering Teacher*, 77(4), 8-14.
- Hacker, M., Crismond, D., Hecht, D., & Lomask, M. (2017). Engineering for all: A middle school program to introduce students to engineering as a potential social good. *Technology and Engineering Teacher*, 77(3), 8-14.
- Hailey, C., Erekson, T., Becker, K., & Thomas, T. (2005). National center for engineering and technology education. *The Technology Teacher*, 64(5), 23-26.
- Hall, G. (2011). Curriculum, instruction, and assessment for creativity and design. In S. Warner and P. Gemmill (Eds.), *Creativity and design in technology & engineering education* (pp. 262-289). Reston, VA: Council on Technology Teacher Education.
- Henriksen, D., Henderson, M., Creely, E., Ceretkova, S., Cernochova, M., Sendova, E., Sointu, E., & Tienken, C. (2018). Creativity and technology in education: An international perspective. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning, 23*, (409-424). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-018-9380-1</u>

- Heroman, C. (2017). *Making and tinkering with STEM: Solving design challenges with young children*. Washington, DC: National Association for the Education of Young Children.
- Heywood, J. (2017). Why technological literacy and for whom? In Heywood et al. (2017). *Philosophical and educational perspectives on engineering and technological literacy, IV* (pp. 2-9). Iowa State University. <u>https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ece\_books/4/</u>
- Hoepfl, M. (2003). Concept learning in technology education. In K.R. Helgeson and A.E. Schwaller (Eds.), *Selecting instructional strategies for technology education* (pp. 47-64). CTETE 52<sup>nd</sup> Yearbook. New York, NY: Glencoe McGraw-Hill.
- Hoepfl, M. (2016). Research on teaching and learning in technology and engineering education and related subjects. In M. Hoepfl (Ed.), *Exemplary teaching practices in technology and engineering education*. CTETE 61<sup>st</sup> Yearbook. Reston, VA: Council on Technology and Engineering Teacher Education.
- Ingerman, A., & Collier-Reed, B. (2011). Technological literacy reconsidered: A model for enactment. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, *21*, 137-148. doi: 10.1007/s10798-009-9108-6
- Institute of Education Sciences/National Center for Education Statistics. (2019). *Technology and engineering literacy*. Retrieved May 8, 2019, from <a href="https://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/tel/">https://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/tel/</a>
- International Society for Technology in Education. (2014). *ISTE standards*. Retrieved October 24, 2018, from <u>https://www.iste.org/standards</u>
- International Technology Education Association. (1996). *Technology for all Americans: A rationale and structure for the study of technology*. Reston, VA: Author.
- International Technology Education Association (ITEA/ITEEA). (2000/2002/2007). *Standards for technological literacy: Content for the study of technology*. Reston, VA: Author.
- International Technology Education Association. (2003). Advancing excellence in technological literacy: Student assessment, professional development, and program standards. Reston, VA: Author.
- Iversen, E. (2015). *K-12 learning by engineering design*. Start Engineering. <u>http://start-engineering.com/start-engineering-now/2015/11/3/k-12-learning-by-engineering-design</u>
- Jackson, A., Mentzer, N., & Kramer-Bottiglio, R. (2020). Soft robotics as emerging technologies: Preparing students for future work through soft robot design experiences. *Technology and Engineering Teacher*, 79(6), 8-14.
- Kelley, T. (2010). Optimization, an important stage of engineering design. *The Technology Teacher*, 69(5). 18-23.

- Kelley, T. (2015). Annual NSF report for science learning through engineering design. Unpublished manuscript, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN.
- Kelley, T., & Knowles, J. (2016). A conceptual framework for integrated STEM education. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 3(11). doi 10.1186/s40594-016-0046-z
- Koehler, C., Faraclas, E., Giblin, D., Moss, D., & Kazerounian, K. (2013). The Nexus between science literacy & technical literacy: A state-by-state analysis of engineering content in state science standards. *Journal of STEM Education*, 14(3), 5–12.
- Krathwohl, D. R., Bloom, B. S., and Masia, B. B. (1964). *Taxonomy of educational objectives, Book II. Affective domain.* New York, NY. David McKay Company, Inc.
- Krupczak, J., Pearson, G., & Ollis, D. (2006, June). Assessing technological literacy in the United States. Paper presented at 2006 Annual Conference & Exposition, Chicago, Illinois. <u>https://peer.asee.org/396</u>
- Krupczak, J., Blake, J., Disney, K, Hilgarth, C., Libros, R., Mina, M., & Walk, S. (2016). Defining engineering and technological literacy. In *Philosophical and educational perspectives on engineering and technological literacy, III* (pp. 8-14). Iowa State University. <u>https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ece\_books/3/</u>
- Land, R. (2012). Engineering technologists are engineers. *Journal of Engineering Technology*. *1*(5), 32-39.
- Lederman, N., Lederman, J., & Antink, A. (2013). Nature of science and scientific inquiry as contexts for the learning of science and achievement of scientific literacy. *International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology*, *1*(3), 138–147.
- Levin, H. (2015). The importance of adaptability for the 21st century. Society, 52(2), 136-141.
- Loepp, F. (2004). Standards: Mathematics and science compared to technological literacy. *The Journal of Technology Studies, 30*(1/2), 2-10.
- Loewus, L. (2016, February 23). Eight things to know about the Next Generation Science Standards [Education Week blog post]. <u>http://blogs.edweek.org/edweek/curriculum/2016/02/next\_generation\_science\_standards\_8\_things\_to\_know.html</u>
- Love, T. (2017). Perceptions of teaching safer engineering practices: Comparing the influence of professional development delivered by technology and engineering, and science educators. *Science Educator*, 26(1), 1-11.
- Love, T., & Wells, J. (2018). Examining correlations between the preparation experiences of

U.S. technology and engineering educators and their teaching of science content and practices. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education.* 28(2), 395-416.

- Loveland, T. (2017). Teaching personal skills in technology and engineering: Is it our job? *Technology and Engineering Teacher*, *76*(7), 15-19.
- Loveland, T. (2019). Standards for technological literacy revision survey: Preliminary results. *Technology and Engineering Teacher (electronic version)*, 78(8). <u>https://www.iteea.org/TETMayJune19STL.aspx</u>
- Loveland, T., & Love, T. (2017). Technological literacy: The proper focus to educate all students. *The Technology and Engineering Teacher*, *76*(4), 13-17.
- Lucas, B., & Hanson, J. (2016). Thinking like an engineer: Using engineering habits of mind and signature pedagogies to redesign engineering education. *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy*, 6(2), 4-14.
- Marshall, B. (2011). English in the national curriculum: A simple redraft or a major rewrite? *The Curriculum Journal, 22*(2), 187-199.
- Massel, D. (1994). Three challenges for national content standards. *Education & Urban Society*, 26(2), 185.
- McGuinn, P. (2015). Complicated politics to the core. Phi Delta Kappan, 97(1), 14-19.
- Mitchell, T. (2017). *Examining the relationship between technology & engineering instruction and technology & engineering literacy in K-8 education* [Doctoral dissertation, Duquesne University]. <u>https://dsc.duq.edu/etd/179</u>
- Moye, J., Dugger, W., & Starkweather, K. (2016). Learning better by doing study: Third-year results. *Technology and Engineering Teacher*, 76(1), 18-25.
- Moye, J., Dugger, W., & Starkweather, K. (2017). Learn better by doing study: Fourth-year results. *Technology and Engineering Teacher*, 77(3), 32-38.
- Moye, J., Dugger, W., & Starkweather, K. (2018). *Learn better by doing*. Reston, VA: ITEEA. <u>https://www.iteea.org/Activities/2142/Learning Better by Doing Project.aspx</u>
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2016). *Science literacy: Concepts, contexts, and consequences*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. doi:10.17226/23595
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2017). *Communicating science effectively: A research agenda*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. doi: 10.17226/23674

- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2018). *How people learn II: Learners, contexts, and cultures*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.17226/24783</u>.
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2019). *Science and engineering* for grades 6-12: Investigation and design at the center. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.17226/25216.</u>
- National Academy of Engineering [NAE]. (2010). *Standards for K-12 engineering education?* Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- National Academy of Engineering. (2019a). *NAE grand challenges for engineering*. Retrieved May 28, 2019, from <u>http://www.engineeringchallenges.org/</u>
- National Academy of Engineering. (2019b). *Engineering habits of mind*. https://www.linkengineering.org/Explore/what-is-engineering/5808.aspx
- National Academy of Engineering. (2009). *The status and nature of K-12 engineering in the United States*. <u>https://www.nae.edu/16161/The-Status-and-Nature-of-K12-Engineering-</u> <u>Education-in-the-United-States</u>.
- National Academy of Engineering and National Research Council. (2002). *Engineering in K-12 education: Understanding the status and improving the prospects.* Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- National Academy of Engineering and National Research Council. (2009). *Technically speaking: Why all Americans need to know about technology.* Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- National Academy of Sciences. (1996). *National science education standards*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- National Academy of Sciences. (2009). Engineering in K-12 education: Understanding the status and improving the prospects Executive summary. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. <u>https://www.nsf.gov/attachments/117803/public/1b--Eng\_in\_K-12\_Ed.pdf</u>
- National Academy of Sciences. (2018). *National science education standards: An overview*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. <u>https://www.nap.edu/read/4962/chapter/2</u>
- National Center for Education Statistics. (2014). *National assessment of educational progress: Technology and engineering literacy (NAEP-TEL)*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.

- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. (2000). *Principles and standards for school mathematics*. Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- National curriculum in England: Design and technology programmes of study. (2013). UK Department of Education. Retrieved August 1, 2019, from <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-curriculum-in-england-design-and-technology-programmes-of-study</u>
- National Education Association. (2019). *An educator's guide to the "four Cs": Preparing 21st century students for a global society*. <u>http://www.nea.org/tools/52217.htm</u>
- National Governors Association. (2007). *Innovation America: Building a science, technology, engineering, and math agenda.* Washington, DC: Author.
- National Governors Association Center for Best Practices and Council of Chief State School Officers. (2010). *Common core state standards*. Washington DC: Authors.
- National Research Council. (1996). *National science education standards*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.17226/4962</u>
- National Research Council. (2002). Investigating the influence of standards: A framework for research in mathematics, science, and technology education. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- National Research Council. (2010). *Standards for K-12 engineering education?* Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.17226/12990</u>.
- National Research Council. (2012). A framework for K-12 science education: Practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- National Science Teachers Association (2016). NSTA position statement: The Next Generation Science Standards. https://www.nsta.org/about/positions/ngss.aspx
- NGSS Lead States. (2013a). *How to read the Next Generation Science Standards*. <u>https://www.nsf.gov/attachments/117803/public/1b--Eng in K-12 Ed.pdf</u>
- NGSS Lead States. (2013b). *Next generation science standards: For states, by states.* Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. <u>https://www.nextgenscience.org/</u>.
- NGSS Lead States. (2013c). *The next generation science standards: Appendix I Engineering design in the NGSS*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- NGSS Lead States. (2019). *Three-dimensional learning*. <u>https://www.nextgenscience.org/three-dimensions</u>

- Nia, M., & de Vries, M. (2016). "Standards" on the bench: Do standards for technological literacy render and equate image of technology? *Journal of Technology and Science Education*, 6(1), 5–18.
- Nordstrom, K., & Korpelainen, P. (2011). Creativity and inspiration for problem solving in engineering education. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 16(4), 439-450.
- O'Neil, J. (1995). On using the standards: A conversation with Ramsay Selden. *Educational Leadership*, 52(6), 12.
- Pardamean, B. (2012). Measuring change in critical thinking skills of dental students educated in PBL curriculum. *Journal of Dental Education*. 76(4), 443-453.
- Partnership for 21<sup>st</sup> Century Learning. (2019). *Framework and resources*. Retrieved July 28, 2019, from <u>http://www.battelleforkids.org/networks/p21/frameworks-resources</u>.
- Phi Delta Kappa International. (2017). *Academic achievement isn't the only mission*. 49th PDK/Gallup Poll of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Public Schools. http://pdkpoll.org/assets/downloads/PDKnational poll 2017.pdf
- Popham, W. (2006). Content standards: The unindicted co-conspirator. *Educational Leadership*, 64(1), 87-88.
- Prier, D., Mann, M., Oluseyi, H., & Hite, R. (2018, November) Life skills students in the STEM classroom: Robotics as effective project-based learning. *Technology and Engineering Teacher (electronic version)*. https://www.iteea.org/File.aspx?id=141655&v=57634d55
- Pursuit of Happiness, Inc. (2018). *Mindfulness and positive thinking*. <u>https://www.pursuit-of-happiness.org/science-of-happiness/positive-thinking/#</u>
- Reed, P. (2017) Technology education standards in the United States: History and rationale. In M. de Vries (Ed.) *Handbook of Technology Education* (pp. 235-250). Springer International Handbooks of Education.
- Reed, P. (2018). Reflections on STEM, standards, and disciplinary focus. *Technology and Engineering Teacher*, 77(7), 16-20.
- Reeves, D. (2000). Standards are not enough: Essential transformations for school success. *NASSP Bulletin*, 84(620), 5.
- Reimers, J., Farmer, C., & Klein-Gardner, S. (2015). An introduction to the standards for preparation and professional development for teachers of engineering. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research*, 5(1), 40-60.
- Royal Academy of Engineering. (2014). *Thinking like an engineer: Implications for the education system*. Winchester, UK: Centre for Real-World Learning.

https://www.raeng.org.uk/publications/reports/thinking-like-an-engineer-implicationsfull-report

- Saavedra, A., & Opfer, V. (2012). Learning 21st-century skills requires 21st-century teaching. *Phi Delta Kappan, 94*(2), 8-13.
- Sanders, M. (2009). Integrative STEM: Primer. The Technology Teacher, 68(4), 20-26.
- Snyder, J., & Hales, J. (1981). *Jackson's Mill industrial arts curriculum theory*. Charleston, WV: West Virginia Department of Education.
- Stohlmann, M., Moore, T. J., & Roehrig, G. H. (2012). Considerations for teaching integrated STEM education. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research (J-PEER)*, 2(1), Article 4. <u>https://doi.org/10.5703/1288284314653</u>
- Strimel, G., Grubbs, M., & Wells, J. (2017). Engineering education: A clear decision. *Technology and Engineering Teacher*, *76*(4), 18-24.
- Suhor, C. (1994). National standards in English: What are they? Where does NCTE stand? *English Journal*, *83*(7), 25.
- Sung, W. (2018). Fostering computational thinking in technology and engineering education: An unplugged hands-on engineering design approach. *Technology and Engineering Teacher*, 78(5), 8-13.
- Tang, K., & Williams, P. (2019). STEM literacy or literacies? Examining the empirical basis of these constructs. *Review of Education*, 7(3), 675-697. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/rev3.3162</u>
- Technology Student Association (TSA). (n.d.) *High school competitions*. <u>https://tsaweb.org/competitions-programs/tsa/high-school-competitions</u>
- Temes, G. (2019) Thoughts on engineering creativity [Point of view]. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, (7), 1223.
- Todd, R. (1991). The natures and challenges of technological literacy. In M. Dyrenfurth and M. Kozak (Eds.), *Technological literacy*, 40<sup>th</sup> yearbook of the Council for Technology Teacher Education (pp. 10-27). Peoria, IL: Glencoe.
- Tsupros, N., Kohler, R., & Hallinen, J. (2009). *STEM education in southwestern Pennsylvania: Report of a project to identify the missing components*. Pittsburgh, PA: Carnegie Mellon University and Intermediate Unit 1 Center for STEM Education. <u>https://www.cmu.edu/gelfand/documents/stem-survey-report-cmu-iu1.pdf</u>
- Ujifusa, A. (2014). As states drop common core, replacement hurdles loom. *Education Week*, *33*(36), 27.

- United Nations. (2019). *Sustainable development goals*. Retrieved September 9, 2019, from <u>https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/#</u>
- Warner, S. (2000). *The effects on student's personality preferences from participating in Odyssey of the Mind* [unpublished doctoral dissertation]. West Virginia University.
- Watts, E., Levit, G., & Hossfeld, U. (2016). Science standards: The foundation of evolution education in the United States. *Perspectives in Science*, 10, 59–65.
- Wells, A. (2013). The importance of design thinking for technological literacy: A phenomenological perspective. *International Journal of Technology & Design Education*, 23(3), 623–636.
- Wells, J. (2016). I-STEM ed exemplar: Implementation of the PIRPOSAL model. *Technology and Engineering Teacher*, 76(2), 16-23.
- White, A., & Rizzo, J. (2008). World-class standards: Setting the new cornerstone for American education. *James B. Hunt Jr. Institute for Educational Leadership and Policy*, 2, 1-8.
- Wiggins, G. (2011). A diploma worth having. *Educational Leadership*, 68(6), 28–33.
- Wiggins, G., McTighe, J., Kiernan, L., Frost, F., & Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development. (1998). Understanding by design. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Williams, P.J. (2009). Technological literacy: A multiliteracies approach for democracy. International Journal of Technology and Design Education, 19, 237-254. doi: 10.1007/s10798-007-9046-0
- Williams, A., Cowdroy, R., & Wallis, L. (2012). Design. In P.J. Williams (Ed.), International technology education series: Technology education for teachers (pp. 93– 114). Rotterdam, The Netherlands: Sense Publishers.
- Wrigley, C., & Straker, K. (2017). Design thinking pedagogy: The educational design ladder. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 54(4), 374-385.
- Yatt, B., & McCade, J. (2011). Defining creativity and design. In S. Warner and P. Gemmill (Eds.), *Creativity and design in technology & engineering education* (pp. 32-68). Reston, VA: Council on Technology Teacher Education.
- Zollman, A. (2012). Learning for STEM literacy: STEM literacy for learning first. *School Science and Mathematics*, *112*(1), 12-19.