Wisconsin's Results from the 2020 National T&E Education Safety Survey How Does Wisconsin Compare to the National Averages?

What are the Implications for School Systems?

Tyler S. Love, Ph.D. Ken R. Roy, Ph.D.

Companion Report for *The Interface* article May 2021

Permissions

These findings were derived from a larger data set:

• Love, T. S., & Roy, K. R. (2020). K-12 technology and engineering education safety and facilities survey. [Data set]. National Safety Consultants, LLC. https://sites.google.com/view/2020-te-safety-study/

• Love, T. S., Roy, K. R., & Sirinedes, P. (2021). What factors have the greatest impact on safety in Pennsylvania's T&E courses? *Technology and Engineering Education Association of Pennsylvania Journal*, 69(1), 5-22.

• Love, T. S., & Roy, K. R. (in press). What factors have the greatest impact on safety in Wisconsin's T&E courses? *The Interface: Journal of the Wisconsin Technology Education Association*.

Tyler Love - tsl48@psu.edu

Ken Roy – <u>safersci@gmail.com</u>

Author: Tyler Love, Ph.D.

CURRENTLY

-Assistant Professor of Elementary/Middle STEM Education at Penn State Harrisburg

- -Safety Editor for ITEEA
- -NSTA Safety Advisory Board Member
- -OSHA Authorized Trainer for General Industry
- -2018 CareerSafe® Safety Educator of the Year

PREVIOUS EXPERIENCES

-Coordinator and Associate Professor of T&E Ed in MD -Technology and Engineering teacher in Maryland's Public School System

•tsl48@psu.edu





Author: Ken Roy, Ph.D.

CURRENTLY

-ON STAFF AT Glastonbury Public Schools (CT)

-Director of Environmental Health & Safety/Chemical Hygiene Officer

PRIVATE SAFETY PRACTICE

-National Safety Consultants, LLC – General Manager/Senior Safety Consultant

-National Science Teaching Association (NSTA)

Chief Science Safety Compliance Adviser and Blogger

-National Science Education Leadership Association (NSELA)

Safety Compliance Officer

-International Council of Associations for Science Education (ICASE) Safety Committee Member



-Author of over 10 safety books and ~ 800 Professional Journal Articles on Safety





Stephenson, West, Westerlund, & Nelson (2003) Stephenson, West, Westerlund, & Nelson (2003) 856 science teachers in TX 81 incident/accident report forms returned Incidents/Accidents increased: 8% to 62% as class size increases from <14 to >24 students 11% to 66% as room size decreased below 60 sq. ft per student 11% to 47% as room size decreased below 800 sq. ft 35% did not have adequate training

5. Only 69% had a written safety policy

-Study redone in 2014, similar findings



T&E 2020 National Safety Survey

-TEE-FASS (T&E Ed Facilities and Safety Survey)

Adapted from Stephenson et al. study

April 2020 - sent out to ITEEA/TEEAP members

718 responses from 42 states, 42 WI responses

-Questions on:

- Info and Demographics
- Experience and Certification
- Classroom Conditions
- T&E facilities
- Teacher and Student Safety Training
- Recent Incidents/Accidents

Demographics

Answer	%	Count	
Male	<mark>93%</mark>	39	
Female	7%	3	
Total	100%	42	
White	<mark>100 %</mark>	42	
Black	0 %	0	
Two or More Races	0 %	0	
Asian	0 %	0	
Hispanic or Latino	0 %	0	

	Certificatio	on(s)	
<u>Wisconsin</u>			
Answer	Percent	Count	
Alternative or Emergency	2%	1	
Elementary Education	9%	5	
Technology Ed or T&E Education	<mark>69%</mark>	40	
A Science Education area	0%	0	
CTE area	<mark>12%</mark>	7	
Other (please specify)	9%	5	
<u>National</u> – T&E = 78%, E	lementary = 3%,	. CTE = 8%	

Visconsin			
Answer	%	Count	
0-3	2%	1	
4-8	17%	7	
9-15	21%	9	
16-25	<mark>31%</mark>	13	
26+	29%	12	
<u>ational</u>			
0-3	10%	70	
4-8	20%	142	
	20%	143	
9-15		201	
9-15 16-25	<mark>28%</mark>	201	
9-15 16-25 26+	<mark>28%</mark> 23%	162	

Grade Level	%	Count	
K-5	0%	0	
Middle School	17%	7	
High School	<mark>45%</mark>	19	
6-12 (Middle & High School)	<mark>36%</mark>	15	
K-12	2%	1	
K-12 tional	2%	1 Count	
K-12 ional Grade Level K-5	2% % 3%	1 Count 21	
K-12 Sional Grade Level K-5 Middle School	2% % 3% 29%	1 Count 21 207	
K-12 ional Grade Level K-5 Middle School High School	2% % 3% 29% 55%	1 Count 21 207 394	
K-12 ional Grade Level K-5 Middle School High School 6-12 (Middle & High School)	2% % 3% 29% 55% 11%	1 Count 21 207 394 82	

Courses and Enrollment

Course P	reps
----------	------

Preps	<u>Wisconsin</u>	<u>National</u>
1	0%	3%
2	5%	14%
3	12%	<mark>31%</mark>
4	<mark>33%</mark>	<mark>25%</mark>
5	<mark>40%</mark>	13%
>5	10%	14%

Primary Focus of Your Courses

<u>Wisconsin</u>

- 1. Materials Processing Woods
- 2. Materials Processing Metals
- 3. Tie T&E Design/Literacy, Construction Technologies

National

- 1. Engineering Design, T&E Literacy
- Tie Materials Processing (woods and metals combined) CAD Electronics/Programming/Robotics
- 3. Pre-engineering (ex. PLTW)



sin			
Answer	%	Count	
0-5%	2%	1	
6-15%	48%	20	
<mark>16-25%</mark>	<mark>43%</mark>	18	
26-50%	2%	1	
More than 50%	5%	2	
al			
0-5%	20%	146	
<mark>6-15%</mark>	<mark>41%</mark>	297	
16-25%	27%	191	
26-50%	10%	73	
More than 50%	2%	11	
Total	100%	718	

Administrative and District Support

Answer Poor	%	Count	
Poor	1 4 0/		
	14%	6	
Fair	26%	11	
Good	<mark>36%</mark>	15	
Excellent	<mark>24%</mark>	10	
Poor	12%	79	
Fair	21%	152	
Good	<mark>42%</mark>	303	
Excellent	26%	184	

Answer	%	Count	
Yes	<mark>38%</mark>	16	
No	62%	26	
<u>National</u>			
<u>National</u> Answer	%	Count	
National Answer Yes	% <mark>53%</mark>	Count 380	



nswer	Wisconsin	National
es	38%	43%
0	<mark>40%</mark>	37%
Insure	<mark>21%</mark>	21%
ollowing Have <i>I</i>	A Written Safety	y Policy?
ollowing Have / Answer	A Written Safety	/ Policy?
ollowing Have A Answer T&E Classes	A Written Safety <u>Wisconsin</u> 90%	/ Policy?
ollowing Have A Answer T&E Classes T&E Department	A Written Safety Wisconsin 90% 76%	/ Policy? <u>National</u> 82% 56%

Answer	<u>Wisconsin</u>	<u>National</u>
Hazardous waste contractor	45%	26%
Municipality	17%	11%
Down the drain/trash	<mark>7%</mark>	6%
Unsure	<mark>29%</mark>	37%
Do not use nazardous chemicals	2%	18%

How does your district dispose of hazardous chemicals?

Recommendations

-Work with your district safety compliance officer, legal counsel, fire marshal, administrators/supervisors, and teachers to develop a written safety program, including protocols, inspections, training, etc.

- Work with your **Board of Education** to help develop a written safety policy.

- Ask your district's chemical hygiene officer or safety officer how to properly dispose of chemicals

-Refer to legal resources (e.g. OSHA, NFPA) and professional resources (e.g. ITEEA, NSTA) for additional information in developing the safety program.

-Enforce safety consistently and fairly

Further Recommendations

Refer to Wisconsin's safety and health rules governing public sector (state and local government offices and operations) workplaces under the jurisdiction of the State of Wisconsin Department of Safety and Professional Services (https://dsps.wi.gov/Pages/Programs/PublicSafety/Default.as px). The state has adopted the federal OSHA rules by reference and additionally, has adopted several rules that are stricter than federal standards, including injury and illness reporting, hazard communication requirements related to infectious agents, and permissible exposure limits for air contaminants.

Safety Training

Did you receive any form of safety training during the following?

Answer	Wisconsin	<u>National</u>
UG tech/eng or lab courses	57%	62%
UG teaching methods courses	52%	54%
Grad tech/eng or lab courses	<mark>12%</mark>	<mark>28%</mark>
Grad teaching methods courses	<mark>14%</mark>	<mark>32%</mark>

When initially hired did your district provide safety training?

Answer	Wisconsin	<u>National</u>
Yes	19%	32%
No	<mark>81%</mark>	68%

How long has it been since your district last offered you safety training?

Answer	Wisconsin	National
<6 months	12%	15%
6 months -1 year	14%	<mark>21%</mark>
1-2 years	7%	7%
2-5 years	2%	5%
>5 years	2%	7%
Never received training from my district	<mark>62%</mark>	44%





WI Answer	WI %	WI Count	National %
Local training source (not my school district)	17%	1	26%
State teacher's association	<mark>33%</mark>	2	12%
State department of education	0%	0	<mark>6%</mark>
National teacher's association	0%	0	3%
A university	<mark>0%</mark>	0	11%
OSHA	0%	0	<mark>17%</mark>
Other (please describe)	50%	3	25%
Total	100%	6	

Who delivered the safety training you attended within the past 12 months?

Recommendations

According to OSHA

-Safety Training must be administered upon initial hire, again any time a new hazard is introduced (chemical, equipment, etc.), change in teaching assignment, and/or updates in safety plans

-Under duty or standard of care the employer (school) has a legal and professional responsibility to provide these trainings

-Employee can request in writing to receive these trainings

Facility Characteristics

In what type of room did you primarily conduct your T&E activities this past year?

Answer	Wisconsin	National
Portable Classroom	0%	0.28%
Regular Classroom/computer room	2%	<mark>17%</mark>
T&E classroom/lab combo	<mark>79%</mark>	66%
T&E Lab	<mark>19%</mark>	12%
Makerspace	0%	2%
Varied due to floating	0%	3%



Do soldering activities	45%	F 20/
Under state and the state of formers based		52%
Under external vented fume nood	<mark>16%</mark>	15%
Under internal fume extractor	<mark>11%</mark>	12%

3D Printer Ventilation

	<u>Wisconsin</u>	<u>National</u>	
Have 3D printer(s)	74%	75%	
Built in filter (HEPA)	23%	17%	
Used inside of a fume hood	0%	2%	
Used near internal vent system (ex. electrostatic air filter)	0%	6%	
No ventilation used	<mark>77%</mark>	<mark>75%</mark>	

er engraver 64% 44	
	%
haust 37% 31	%
haust 63% 64	%
ion 0% <mark>5</mark>	<mark>/</mark> 6

Recommendations

Fire code NFPA 101 Life Safety Code requires 50 sq. ft. per student (net square footage) in academic labs and shops

Research suggests at a minimum 60 sq ft. limits accident rates

Conduct at a minimum annual safety inspections to make sure your facilities have proper safety controls and space (ITEEA website and NIOSH have excellent checklists)

Make sure the instructional space meets all OSHA, NFPA, and other legal safety standards and better professional safety practices like ANSI/ISEA, ITEEA, etc. to make it safer for both teachers and students.

Use non-lead based solder when possible with ventilation at the source.

Source: https://www.iteea.org/102756.aspx

Classroom Management Safety Practices

Question	Never	Rarely	Usually	Always
1. Sign a safety acknowledgement form?	7% (WI) 16% (US)	2% 6%	7% 10%	<mark>83%</mark> 69%
2. Be tested for their knowledge of safety procedures prior to participating in new hazardous T&E activities/using new hazardous equipment?	0% 8%	0% 5%	5% 12%	<mark>95%</mark> 76%
3. Safely demonstrate a new procedure or use of a new tool/piece of equipment while directly supervised?	0% 5%	2% 3%	17% 16%	<mark>81%</mark> 76%
4. Be tested on safety knowledge on their quizzes/exams?	0% 10%	14% 15%	17% 24%	<mark>69%</mark> 52%
5. Be provided both written and oral safety precautions by the instructor prior to each lab?	0% 7%	7% 14%	19% 24%	<mark>74%</mark> 52%

How often are all students in your T&E class required to:

Question	Never	Rarely	Usually	Always
6. Secure long hair/tie it back?	0% (WI) 6% (US)	0% 2%	12% 14%	<mark>88%</mark> 78%
7. Remove loose jewelry, roll up long sleeves, secure baggy clothing?	0% 7%	2% 3%	14% 14%	<mark>83%</mark> 76%
8. Wear close toed shoes?	0% 7%	2% 4%	24% 20%	<mark>74%</mark> 69%
9. Wear <mark>safety glasses</mark> when working with solid hazards	0% 11%	0% 3%	0% 10%	<mark>100%</mark> 77%
10. Wear <mark>safety goggles</mark> when working with liquid hazards	31% 31%	19% 13%	14% 12%	<mark>36%</mark> 44%

Recommendations Have all students be safety trained, tested and sign a safety acknowledgement form before starting any work involving hazards (ex. hand and/or power tools) All students need safety glasses with side shields on when an activity is being conducted in a room or lab (indirectly vented chemical splash goggles for liquid hazards) Students should be directly supervised when using any equipment (after meeting all other criteria like safety tests) Include some key safety questions on unit tests/quizzes Provide written and oral forms of safety instruction/reminders No open toed shoes or flip flops allowed during lab activities Always require students to tie back long hair/secure loose clothing and jewelry



Answer	Wisconsin	National
ITEEA's safety website	0%	10%
Virginia Tech's lab safety resource website	0%	1%
Power Tool Institute resources	2%	3%
School district/department developed resources	14%	15%
State developed resources	2%	4%
Student developed safety resources	0%	1%
Teacher (my own) developed resources	<mark>81%</mark>	<mark>58%</mark>
I do not use safety tests or posters	0%	<mark>8%</mark>

Teachers Reported Having the Following: <u>Wisconsin</u> **National** Safety Zones on Floor <mark>52%</mark> 48% Non-skid strips near machines <mark>29%</mark> 27% Eyewash w/in 10 second access Plumbed <mark>62%</mark> 47% Portable <mark>14%</mark> 22% Adequate Ventilation <mark>69%</mark> 45% Workspace accessible to 47% <mark>36%</mark> wheelchair bound students Accessible master power shut offs <mark>69%</mark> 61% Sufficient number of outlets <mark>57%</mark> 61%

Teachers Reported Having the Following:

	Wisconsin	National	
Lockable tool storage	<mark>69%</mark>	78%	
Sufficient work space per student	<mark>52%</mark>	60%	
Sufficient project storage	<mark>57%</mark>	61%	
ANSI Z87.1 glasses for entire class	97%	<mark>83%</mark>	
Cabinet to sanitize goggles	<mark>26%</mark>	50%	
A sink in the facility	<mark>86%</mark>	76%	
First Aid Kit	<mark>69%</mark>	61%	
Lockable chemical storage cabinet	<mark>74%</mark>	67%	
Finishing or chemical storage room	<mark>71%</mark>	46%	
External exhaust paint booth	93%	83%	



<section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text><text>



Have appropriate taped or painted safety work zones are all machines.

Have non-skid strips near machines to prevent slip/fall hazards.

Have appropriate ventilation to accommodate particulate and aerosol hazards.

Have a wood dust collection system with the intake vent placement at the machine source of wood dust production to prevent exposure to air-borne wood dust.

Have workspace accessible to wheelchair bound students per ADA requirements.

Have all electrical receptacles GFCI protected and ensure that they work properly.

Have easily accessible emergency power shut-off switches.

Have a sufficient number of electrical receptacles to eliminate use of extension cords.

Have a lockable/secure tool cabinet to prevent student access when not in use instructionally.

Accidents

Answer	%	Count
Yes	10%	4
No	71%	30
<mark>Unsure</mark>	<mark>19%</mark>	8
National		51
Yes	7%	51
Yes No	7% 62%	444

<u> Wisconsin</u>			
Answer	%	Count	
)	36%	15	
<mark>I-10</mark>	<mark>55%</mark>	23	
11-20	10%	4	
21-30	0%	0	
More than 30	0%	0	
National	38%	274	
<mark>l-10</mark>	<mark>60%</mark>	427	
11-20	2%	15	
21-30	0%	0	

<u>Wisconsin</u>			Question	Involved	
Question	Involved		6. Hand or portable power	<mark>31%</mark>	13
1. Hot glue gun	<mark>24%</mark>	10	tools (ex.		
2. Broken glass	5%	2	cordless drill, Dremel, etc.)		
3. Spills/splashes	14%	6	7. Fumes	7%	3
	200/	16	8. Fires	7%	3
Operated	38%	16	9. Projectiles	<mark>14%</mark>	6
Equipment/Machine ry (ex. scroll saw, band saw, etc)			10. Electrical Short	5%	2
5. Automated	2%	1	11. Outdoor activities	0%	0
CNC, laser cutter, 3D printer, robotics,			National = Hot g	ue guns m	ore
Gl0. <i>j</i>		<u> </u>	tools less involve	ent and p	owe

Question	0		1-5		6-10		11-15	
1. How many minor accidents in the past 12 months?	7%	3	<mark>69%</mark>	29	14%	6	5%	2
2. How many major accidents (requiring major medical attention) occurred in your classes within the past 12 months?	76%	32	24%	10	0%	0	0%	0
within the past 12 months?	l = 20% ccident	had s and	no min 12% h	or accio ad 1-5	dents; 88 maior ac	3% had	no	





Answer	%	Count	
Did not have any accidents	0%	0	
Fingers/hands	<mark>100%</mark>	42	
Eyes/face	0%	0	
Arms	0%	0	
Legs	0%	0	
Other body part	0%	0	
ational		·	
Did not have any accidents	13%	93	
Fingers/hands	<mark>86%</mark>	615	
Eyes/face	0.4%	3	
Arms	0.1%	1	
Legs	0%	0	
Other body part	0.8%	6	



	Wisconsin	<u>National</u>
ave a table saw	<mark>88%</mark>	65%
awStop brand	78%	<mark>56%</mark>
nstructor only use	16%	34%
tudent use with strict guidance	30%	31%
udent use with Teacher in Lab	<mark>54%</mark>	35%



Correlations and Predictors of Accidents

Statistically Significant Factors **Contributing** to Accident Rates

Polychoric correlation tests (p = 0.05)

Contributing Factors
Type of course taught (more hazardous, greater risk)
Ex. 24% more likely to have minor accident, 30% more
likely to have major accident

Greater than 25% of class doing hands-on T&E activities

Hybrid classroom/lab higher than other facility designs

Independent student use on table saw

Statistically Significant Factors **Reducing** Accident Rates

Polychoric correlation tests (p = 0.05)

Protective Factors

Safety glasses for every student in class

Ex. 16% less likely minor accident, 25% less likely major accident

Dust collection connected directly to equipment Fire extinguisher within 25 feet

Circuit breakers that had tripped

Have GFCI outlets

Lockable flammables cabinet

Lockable tool storage cabinet

Master shut off switch

Statistically Significant Factors **Reducing** Accident Rates cont.

Polychoric correlation tests (p = 0.05)

Protective Factors cont.
Safety zones on the floor around equipment
Non-skid strips on the floor around equipment
Type of Table Saw: SawStop
Finishing/chemical storage room separate from lab/classroom and secure (locked)
Appropriate gloves for all students when needed
Appropriate aprons for all students when needed
Sinks in lab/classroom

Statistically Significant Predictors of Accidents

Logistic regression tests (p = 0.05)

Contributing Factors cont.	Statistically Significant?	
Undergraduate T&E methods course	N	
Comprehensive training (undergrad or graduate safety coursework + training from district upon initial hiring + training updates from district within past 5 years)	Y*	
*37% lower odds of ≥1 accidents occurring		
Comprehensive training + years of teaching experience	N	

Questions?

Tyler Love - <u>tsl48@psu.edu</u> Ken Roy – <u>safersci@gmail.com</u>



Additional Results: https://sites.google.com/view/ 2020-te-safety-study/